Systematic Review Research Prize, scoring sheet 2027

Entrant: _____Judge: _____

Date: Total score (out of 60): Rank:

Initial Criteria: To be checked before sending to judges. Applicant is first author? Was PRISMA checklist included? Evidence submitted of Database registration prior to review starting (PROSPERO) Contributions of presenting author and other co-authors to systematic review clear. **All must be Yes to proceed to further scoring**	**YES/** **YES/** **YES/** **YES/**
Comments:	
Importance and justification of review topic Consider: rationale and justification for review, originality and clear focused question.	**Score: /10**
Comments:	
Methodology Consider rigour of methods including, inclusion criteria / thoroughness of search methods, risk of bias analysis, synthesis of studies whether by meta-analysis or not, assessment of certainty of evidence e.g. GRADE and methods to assure review quality of the review such as duplicate screening and data abstraction.	**Score: /10**
Comments:	

Quality of results and conclusion: Consider: appropriateness of analytic methods including meta-analysis and any subgroup/sensitivity analyses to explore heterogeneity, risk of bias etc., consideration of certainty of evidence in drawing conclusions (e.g. GRADE), depth of understanding, application of the research, awareness of limitations, conclusions supported by results, the applicant's role in the research.	**Score: /10**
Comments:	
Relevance and potential impact to Periodontology Consider: significance in advancing knowledge, transferability of the findings, potential national or international impact.	**Score: /10**
Comments:	
Quality of poster presentation Consider: content, clarity, synthesis and ease of understanding.	**Score: /10**
Comments:	
Quality of presentation Consider: ability to synthetically describe the findings and conclusions and to answer questions.	**Score: /10**
Comments:	
Overall comments	