





Summarised from Journal of Clinical Periodontology, Volume 49, issue 1 (January 2022), 15-27

Editors: Phoebus Madianos & Andreas Stavropoulos, EFP scientific affairs committee

Rapporteurs: Lucienne Weigel and Raffael Budmiger with Prof Giovanni E. Salvi **Affiliation**:

EFP-affiliated programme in periodontology at the University of Bern, Switzerland



# Non-surgical treatment of peri-implantitis: does adjunctive systemic metronidazole help patients?

Authors:

Carlota Blanco, Alex Pico, José Dopico, Pilar Gándara, Juan Blanco, Antonio Liñares

## **Background**

Peri-implantitis is a pathological condition around implants, characterised by inflammation of the peri-implant mucosa and progressive loss of bone. A prevalence of peri-implantitis of 18.5% at patient level and 12.8% at implant level has been reported (Dreyer et al., 2018). Risk factors for peri-implantitis are poor oral hygiene, a history of periodontitis, and tobacco smoking. Diabetes mellitus, alcohol consumption, and genetic traits may also have a negative impact.

The microbiota associated with peri-implantitis are characterised by a mixed anaerobic infection. Their composition is comparable to that of periodontitis lesions around teeth.

At present, there is no universally accepted standard of care for the treatment of peri-implant diseases. Non-surgical therapy alone does not seem to be effective in a significant proportion of cases. Although published case series have indicated promising additional benefits when using systemic antibiotics as an adjunct to non-surgical peri-implantitis therapy, no randomised clinical trials have been conducted to assess the effects of systemic metronidazole as an adjunct to the non-surgical treatment of peri-implantitis.

### Aim

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the clinical, radiographic, and microbiological outcomes after non-surgical treatment of peri-implantitis with adjunctive systemic metronidazole or a placebo.

# Materials & methods

- This triple-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled clinical trial included patients requiring non-surgical treatment of peri-implantitis.
- Exclusion criteria were allergy to metronidazole, treatment history of bisphosphonates, pregnancy or breast-feeding, antibiotic treatment in the previous three months, and contraindicated systemic conditions.
- Thirty-two subjects with 62 implants were randomly assigned into two groups to receive either a mechanical non-surgical instrumentation session and systemic metronidazole (test) or placebo (control).
- Before baseline examination, patients were instructed in proper oral hygiene (full-mouth plaque score; FMPS ≤20%) and supragingival debridement was performed.
- Both groups received a single session of non-surgical instrumentation under local anaesthesia. The implant-supported restorations were removed whenever possible and mechanical instrumentation was performed by an ultrasonic device with a stainless-steel tip followed by removal of granulation tissue with stainless-steel curettes. After irrigation with 0.12% chlorhexidine digluconate, the restorations were reinserted onto the implants.
- Immediately after the treatment session, all patients received 500mg metronidazole (test) or placebo tablets (control) three times per day for seven days.
- At the first-week post-treatment visit, patients were asked to return any medication not taken and to report adverse events.
- The following parameters were recorded: pocket probing depth (PPD), recession, clinical attachment level (CAL), bleeding on probing (BoP), FMPS and full-mouth bleeding score (FMBS), marginal bone-level changes on periapical radiographs, and microbiological changes at the deepest periimplant pocket.
- Success criteria were defined as: PPD ≤5mm without BoP or <5mm irrespective of BoP and no further bone loss between baseline and one year.
- Re-evaluation was performed after three, six, and 12 months following treatment.

Table: Mean microbiological outcomes for baseline, 3, 6, and 12 months

|    | Treatment group | Baseline positive<br>(%)/>10 <sup>6</sup> (%) | 3 months<br>positive (%)/>10 <sup>6</sup> (%) | 6 months<br>positive (%)/>10 <sup>6</sup> (%) | 12 months<br>positive (%)/>10 <sup>6</sup> (%) |
|----|-----------------|---|---|---|--|
| Aa | Test            | 0/16 (0%)                                     | 0/16 (0%)                                     | 0/14 (0%)                                     | 0/15 (0%)                                      |
|    | Control         | 0/16 (0%)                                     | 0/16 (0%)                                     | 1/14 (7%)                                     | 1/16 (6%)                                      |
|    | p value         | 0,7   | 0,7   | 0,5   | 0,3  |
| Pg | Test            | 15/16 (94%)                                   | 5/12 (42%)*                                   | 3/14 (21%)*                                   | 4/15 (27%)*                                    |
|    | Control         | 9/16 (56%)                                    | 6/15 (40%)                                    | 6/14 (43%)                                    | 7/16 (44%)                                     |
|    | p value         | 0,01  | 0,6   | 0,4   | 0,3  |
| Tf | Test            | 14/16 (86%)                                   | 4/12 (33%)*                                   | 4/14 (29%)*                                   | 5/15 (33%)*                                    |
|    | Control         | 14/16 (86%)                                   | 6/15 (40%)*                                   | 8/14 (57%)                                    | 13/16 (81%)                                    |
|    | p value         | 0,7   | 0,7   | 0,3   | 0,001  |
| Fn | Test            | 15/16 (94%)                                   | 9/12 (75%)                                    | 13/14 (93%)                                   | 11/15 (73%)                                    |
|    | Control         | 16/16 (100%)                                  | 13/15 (87%)                                   | 14/14 (100%)                                  | 16/16 (100%)                                   |
|    | p value         | 0,3   | 0,2   | 0,3   | 0,06   |
| Cr | Test            | 13/16 (81%)                                   | 6/12 (46%)*                                   | 4/14 (28%)*                                   | 3/15 (2%)*                                     |
|    | Control         | 11/16 (69%)                                   | 9/15 (60%)                                    | 6/14 (43%)                                    | 16/16 (100%)                                   |
|    | p value         | 0,3   | 0,3   | 0,3   | 0,04   |

Abbreviations: Aa, Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans; Cr, Campylobacter rectus; Fn, Fusobacterium nucleatum; Pg, Porphyromonas gingivalis; Tf, Tannerella forsythia.

\*p value < .05 for intra-group comparisons.

### Results

- Thirty-two patients completed the study (16 in the test group and 16 in control) although three patients (two in the test group and one in control) missed the three-month visit because of mobility restrictions related to the Covid-19 pandemic.
- At the one-week follow-up visit, six subjects (38%) in the test group and five subjects in the control group (31%) reported adverse events (gastrointestinal disorder, headache, metallic taste, and oral-tissue alterations). Fifteen subjects (94%) in the test group and 14 subjects (88%) in the control group completed the seven-day course of adjunctive systemic medication as prescribed.
- After 12 months, the test treatment resulted in statistically significantly greater PPD reduction (2.53 vs. 1.02mm), CAL gain (2.14
- vs. 0.53mm) and radiographic bone gain (2.33 vs.1.13mm) compared with the control treatment.
- A division into moderately deep (5-6mm) and deep (>6mm) PPD categories yielded statistically significant differences favouring the test group in all variables except recession, after three and six months at moderately deep sites.
- Microbiological findings showed a greater decrease in the detection of Porphyromonas gingivalis, Tannerella forsythia, and Campylobacter rectus in the test group compared with the control group.
- Treatment success after 12 months amounted to 56.3% in the test group and 25% in the control group. No implants were lost during the study.

### Limitations

- The potential influence of the inclusion of more favourable bony-defect configurations may have affected the clinical and radiographic outcomes.
- Detailed information about the surface characteristics of the treated implants is missing. Because of surface characteristics, decontamination of implants with non-modified (machined) surfaces might be more effective compared with that of implants with modified (micro-rough) surfaces.
- Adjunctive antibiotics may not be indicated in the management
  of initial stages of peri-implantitis as clinical success may be
  achieved with non-surgical therapy alone. In advanced cases of periimplantitis, additional surgical therapy may be indicated irrespective
  of the use of adjunctive antibiotics.
- The long-term effects of the adjunctive delivery of systemic antibiotics in the non-surgical management of peri-implantitis remain to be determined.

# **Conclusions & impact**

- Improvements in clinical, radiographic, and microbiologic outcome parameters were observed in both treatment modalities. However, the outcomes with the adjunctive use of systemic metronidazole were more pronounced after 12 months.
- After 12 months, treatment success was achieved in more patients and implants in subjects receiving adjunctive systemic metronidazole.
- Because of the increase in antibiotic resistance, adjunctive delivery of systemic metronidazole for the non-surgical management of periimplantitis should be carefully considered in daily practice on a case-by-case basis.



JCP Digest 97, published in March 2022, is a summary of 'Adjunctive benefits of systemic metronidazole on non-surgical treatment of peri-implantitis. A randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial' J Clin Periodontol. 49 (1): 15-27. DOI: 10.1111/jcpe13564





